

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/069,100	10/21/2002	Martin Philip Usher	11696.0054 1690		
7590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER			
Stuart T F Huang			DOAN, KIET M		
Steptoe & Johnson Box PTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1330 Connecticut Avenue N W			2617		
Washington, DC 20036			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/069,100	USHER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kiet Doan	2617	

3 .,	LAdimilei	Aitoill					
	Kiet Doan	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 	•	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		-					
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	· ———	timely filed amendme	ont cancaling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	mowable if subfinited iff a separate,	unlely med amending	ent canceling the				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			-				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See office action. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
•							

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is response to Remarks file on 08/17/2006.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument the rejection of claims 9 and 13 under 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraphs that specification discloses "simultaneously suspending" and "simultaneously discontinue" on page 35, lines 12-16.

Examiner respectfully disagrees and review specification page 35, lines 12-16 "when such a disconnection occurs (step 1501), a signal is generated in the onboard system 2, 101, 501 (step 1502) for transmission over the satellite link 6 to the ground station 4 (step 1503). This signal causes the satellite ground station to invoke a call failure mode for any directed to the onboard system 2, 101, 501 of the specified vehicle (step 1504)". There is no support of written and adequately described such "simultaneously suspending" in claim 9 and "simultaneously discontinue" in claim 13.

Therefore the offices maintain claims 9 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraphs.

Claims 10,12, 15, which depend on claims 9 and 13, the office maintain previous rejection.

In response to applicant's argument in claim 16 that reference does not teach "wholesale suspension of call to entire vehicle".

Examiner respectfully disagrees, the McConnell reference is rejection base on broadly read of claim languages "sending a control signal representing a request for the ground-base network to discontinue forwarding incoming calls to the vehicle" (C2, L40-56, C7, L7-41, Fig.4, Illustrate steps of receiving (incoming call) wherein suspend and forwarding to the users.

Therefore, examiner interpreted "sending a control signal representing a request for the ground-base network to discontinue forwarding incoming calls to the vehicle" as broadest reasonable interpretation and it is proper.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-7863. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kiet Doan

Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4